



**Date:** September 23, 2020

**Addendum #:** 2

**Project Name:** Renewable Energy Resource – Cedar Run

**Bid Due Date:** October 7, 2020

1. One of the pre-bid meeting participants asked about relocating the CMS transmission line, and Tim said it was not being considered. Exhibit A notes a “Relocated Transmission Line” (see attached screenshot with red outline). Should we assume that this notation is incorrect and the Consumers 46 kV transmission line is no longer being considered for relocation?

*Correct, the notation was incorrect. The transmission line is not being relocated.*

2. The CAD drawings in Exhibit A, Exhibit B, and slides 9 and 11 in the pre-bid meeting deck have topographic lines. Could the underlying topographic file for those CAD drawings be made available?

*See file name Bid Addendum #2 – Question #2 – CAD Drawings*

3. We typically call the county assessor’s office to clarify property taxes, but given the number of potential bidders on this project would it be possible to provide the assessor’s guidance on property taxes for this project to all bidders in an addendum?

*See file name Bid Addendum #2 – Question #3 – Assessor Email*

4. As a part of TCLP’s evaluation of options for the parcel, have the dimensions of existing and proposed easements (and associated setbacks) been identified? If so, can they be provided?

*The setbacks have been identified for each use and zoning regulation shown on the plans. The setbacks and easements in the PURD scenario (shown) are the most conservative of all scenarios we examined.*

5. If trees are remove from the property, will these trees need to be replace?

*There is no requirement that trees removed will need to be replaced. However, please keep in mind we are a Tree City and trees removed should be kept to a minimum and those only necessary to remove. Additionally, the Township will likely have a screening requirement as part of their zoning review of the solar project.*

6. Please confirm that dirt cannot be moved.

*Earthwork for the purposes of solar array development is permitted as long as the existing capped landfill liner is not disturbed. It is presumed a mass grading operation would be utilized to develop the solar array for maximum constructability, solar*



orientation and special arrangement. **However, all grading plans will have to be reviewed and approved by EGLE.**

7. Will TCL&P provide a layout of current locations of the wells?

*See file name Bid Addendum #2 – Question #7 – Historic Wells*

*This map is from the 1995 closure report that shows the location of groundwater observation and monitoring wells. While we believe this map was accurate in its time, the existence of the wells has not been recently verified. Additionally, we are unaware of any record showing the wells have been abandoned.*

*If there are inconsistencies with the elevation data in this map versus recently collected data please rely on the recent data provided.*

8. Can you please share the sign in sheets for the two meetings on this project?

*See file name Bid Addendum #2- Question #8 – Attendance*

9. Is there a bond requirement or bid bond requirement?

*No*

10. Is there an escalation allowed in the PPA or is this a fixed purchase agreement?

*Escalation for the contract is allowed. Please place the escalation rate on the Proposal Submission Form.*

11. Does TCL&P prefer an option with battery storage? If so, what challenge is this intended to solve?

*TCL&P is open to receive proposals with battery storage and look at the economics/benefits to see if it would be valuable to the utility.*

12. There is no mention of a battery energy storage system (BESS) in the RFP documents, but a bidder on the pre-bid call asked about BESS and TCLP indicated that it was part of the expected response for the RFP. Is a BESS part of this RFP? If so, have there been any use cases determined for the BESS?

*See answer to #11 and no use cases.*